United States District Court For the Northern District of California

25

26

27

28

///

///

///

1			
2			
3			
4			
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
7			
8	JOSEPH P. CUVIELLO, et al.,	No. C-06-5517 EMC	
9	Plaintiffs,	ORDER RE BRIEFING SCHEDULE	
10	v.	AND HEARING DATE FOR	
11	CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.,	PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF	
12	Defendants.	(Docket No. 471)	
13			
14			
15	Plaintiffs have filed a motion that they have styled as a request for administrative relief. The		
16	motion is not a request for administrative relief under Civil Local Rule 7-11 as it seeks <i>substantive</i>		
17	relief. Plaintiffs should have filed their motion and then asked for that motion to be heard on		
18	shortened time rather than try to use Civil Local Rule 7-11 as a means of obtaining a quicker		
19	briefing schedule and/or hearing date. Plaintiffs are admonished that such practice shall not be		
20	condoned by the Court in the future.		
21	///		
22	///		
23	///		
24	///		

2012, at 1:30 p.m.		
Plaintiffs shall file a reply by July 27, 2012, at 12:00 p.m. The hearing shall be held on July 31,		
shortened time. Defendants and/or Feld shall file a response to Plaintiffs' motion by July 25, 2012 .		
coming to town soon the Court hereby orders sua sponte a briefing schedule and hearing date on		
However, because the issues raised in Plaintiffs' motion are time sensitive i.e., the circus is		

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 18, 2012

EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge